

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/084,388 | KOPYTEK ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Maria B Marvich, PhD | 1636 |

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) Maria B Marvich, PhD. (3) _____.

(2) Gary Gershik. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 July 2004 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


GERRY LEFFERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A preliminary amendment filed 2/25/02 indicating cancellation of claims 34-50 and 52-78 was not identified at the time that the restriction requirement was mailed. The implication of this amendment upon the restriction requirement was discussed. Applicants stated that it would be proper to examine the elected Group I minus the cancelled claims in a First Action.